



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/777,718

02/12/2004

Jessica Murillo

AUS920030937US1

5935

34533 7590 07/22/2008

INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF)
c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP
P.O. BOX 1469
AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469

EXAMINER

HOANG, DANIEL L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/777,718	Applicant(s) MURILLO ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL L. HOANG	Art Unit 2136	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-4 and 6-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are presented.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doi et al., US Patent No. 6389422.

As per claim 1, Doi teaches:

A method for controlling access to a computer resource, the method comprising:
receiving from a requesting entity a request for access to the computer resource;

[see fig. 7, element s20]

determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission, wherein the proxy permission has at least one associated proxy rule and the proxy permission has been granted by a proxy grantor, further

Art Unit: 2136

comprising finding, in dependence upon a requesting entity identification, a proxy permission record in a proxy permission table; and

[see fig. 7, element s34]

[see col. 20, lines 43-53]

granting access to the computer resource in dependence upon the proxy rule.

[see fig. 7, element s40]

As per claim 2, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the proxy rule comprises at least one condition required for granting access to the computer resource.

[see col. 20, lines 12-15, wherein access is granted if the IP address matches the Proxy permission IP address pattern.]

As per claim 3, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 2 wherein the condition has a plurality of possible states.

[see col. 20, lines 20-30, wherein computers having the same address may exist in separate networks.]

As per claim 4, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission further comprises finding, in dependence upon a requesting entity identification, an access control entry in an access control list for the computer resource.

[see col. 20, lines 43-53, wherein access is granted based on username and password being authorized with the proxy control structure]

As per claim 6, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 1 further comprising reading a proxy permission indicator from a data structure representing the resource.

[see rejection of claim 4, proxy control structure]

As per claim 7, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 1 further comprising reading a proxy permission indicator from an access control list for the resource.

[see rejection of claim 4, proxy control structure]

As per claim 8, 17, and 26, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the proxy rule comprises one or more conditions required for granting access to the computer resource and granting access to the computer resource based on the proxy rule further comprises: determining whether the conditions of the proxy rule are met; and permitting access to the computer resource if the conditions of the proxy rule are met.

[see col. 20, lines 12-19 and 43-53, and col. 21, lines 45-50]

As per claim 9, 18, and 27, Doi teaches:

The method of claim 8 wherein each condition has a plurality of possible states and granting access to the computer resource based on the proxy rule further comprises evaluating the states of the conditions.

[see col. 21, lines 40-50 and col. 22, lines 1-27]

POINTS OF CONTACT

*. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulaney Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136